

### Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

## THE HISTORY AND STATUS OF PSYCHOLOGY IN THE UNITED STATES

By Christian A. Ruckmich, Cornell University

Since the birth of psychology in America, two attempts have been made to outline the period of its development and to take census at the particular stage of growth reached at the time. In 1891 W. O. Krohn published an account of the work done at seventeen American colleges and universities, a description of the laboratories and the apparatus in use, a note on the development of the departments, and, in some cases, an evaluation of the equipment, including books, instruments, and scholarship and fellowship funds. A few sentences from his introductory paragraph illustrate the condition of psychology at that time. Speaking of the Leipzig laboratory, founded in 1879, he says:

The value of their new experimental method so impressed these students that it was soon carried into effect in other institutions, and happily this new movement early found its way to America. To President G. Stanley Hall and Dr. J. McK. Cattell, Wundt's first American students, belongs the credit of introducing the experimental methods of treating psychology into the American college; the first laboratory being that of Johns Hopkins University at Baltimore, in which laboratory so many of the teachers of experimental and comparative psychology in the various colleges of the United States received their training. From this one comparatively small laboratory at Johns Hopkins the number has rapidly increased to fifteen now in actual use while no less than ten other institutions have taken steps to secure laboratory facilities within a year.

A few years after this account was written, E. B. Delabarre <sup>2</sup> published statistics with reference to the status of psychology in twenty-seven colleges and universities in America. In addition to historical data concerning the foundation of the laboratory, number of professors and students, and general laboratory equipment, he tabulated the evaluation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>W. O. Krohn: Facilities in Experimental Psychology in the colleges of the U. S., Report of U. S. Commissioner of Education, 1890-1891, 1139-1151.

<sup>1890-1891, 1139-1151.</sup>E. B. Delabarre: Les laboratoires de psychologie en Amérique, L'Année psychologique, I, 1894, 209-255.

of apparatus, amount of scholarship funds available, number and kind of courses offered, and type of research work pursued. Of the twenty-seven laboratories thus described, eight or nine were devoted exclusively to instruction and demonstration, five to eight were engaged in special research, and about ten were used for both instruction and research. In conclusion he says:

Ce compte rendu correspond à l'état de la psychologie en Amérique vers la fin de 1894. Mais l'intérêt de notre pays pour les études psychologiques est si développé et si profond que le compte rendu de l'heure présente ne sera plus vrai demain. Le nombre des laboratoires continue à augmenter rapidement. . . . Les laboratoires existant augmentent rapidement leurs ressources, et quelques-uns qui n'ont encore qu'une importance secondaire peuvent d'un moment à l'autre recevoir de nouveaux crédits et passer au premier rang. Notre description s'applique par conséquent à un système qui est en voie d'évolution progressive, et qu'on doit juger surtout à ce point de vue.

The progress which Delabarre described in this article has continued almost steadily until the present time, when any large university in the country would consider its plant insufficient in equipment without a psychological laboratory of some sort. There is, however, to the best of the author's knowledge, no statistical inquiry of an adequate kind available, by means of which those interested in the matter can reckon up, as it were, the assets and liabilities in the account to date of our still youthful discipline. It would, in short, be a convenience to those who are watching the progress of the science, to have a brief, yet adequate, description of its attainments after over twenty-five years of growth.

In March, 1911, Titchener sent out a questionary for the purpose of obtaining material for an article on the historical development and present status of psychology in the larger colleges and universities of the country. Thirty-nine replies were received. When an attempt to systematise the results was made, it was soon discovered that in consideration of the promise of, and frequently because of the request for, privacy the significant facts concerning present conditions could not be published. These facts related, for the most part, to the status of psychology in regard to other departments; in particular, the relation of psychology to philosophy was subject to frequent criticism. It happened that at about the same time the writer was independently engaged in gathering material from the catalogues of a large number of academic institutions in the attempt to correlate the results for publication.

Opportunely, at the suggestion of Titchener,3 we combined forces and material under a single heading. This work furnished the substance of a previous paper 4 which, enlarged and elaborated by means of a statistical inquiry conducted by the writer, gave rise, in turn, to the present article.

The questionary sought answers to the following:

(1) When was the psychological laboratory established?
(2) Who was placed in charge? With what academic title?
(3) Was the introductory course in psychology (general psychology, elementary psychology) assigned, at the above date, to the experimental psychologist? If not, what department had charge of it?

(4) Was this course independent, or was it offered in connection

with (or in dependence upon) other specified courses?

(5) What, in these two respects, is the present status of the intro-

ductory course?

(6) With the establishment of the laboratory, was the department of psychology recognised as independent, or was it subordinated to some other department or division? In the latter event, what was its precise relation to the superior department?

(7) What, in this respect, is the present status of the department? (The replies to the two remaining questions were regarded as con-

fidential.)

(8) Are there any important events in the history of the department (dismissals, readjustments, etc.) that illustrate or supplement your replies to the preceding questions?

(9) What is your judgment of the present status of the department

of psychology in your University?

The answers to these questions are condensed into the accompanying tabular arrangement. With that abbreviation goes some necessary injustice, because parenthetical qualifications and modifications are omitted in the last four columns. Official departmental relations are variously interpreted because of local considerations; where departments are technically separated and independent, the combination of courses in a group-system with those of other departments tends to bind the departments together in such manner that the link is often stronger than in cases of complete dependence. The writer was obliged, therefore, in a few instances, to make very 'close' decisions. On the whole, however, no great wrong was done. The essential facts of the table can be approximately summarised into the following:

Laboratories first began to appear in definite form late in the 'eighties.' Up to and including 1890, 8 such laboratories had been started, and 5 of these were at western state insti-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> For the material gathered from the questionary and for timely suggestions, I wish to express my indebtedness to Professor Titchener.

\*Read before the meeting of the Association of Experimental Psychologists at Clark University, April 16, 1912.

# TABLE I. SYNOPSIS OF STATISTICS FROM HISTORICAL QUESTIONARY

(7) Depr. Ind'r Now?	No	phasise recognition of sychology as an inde- cendent discipline. Ital- cisced names indicate crisced names psychol- pgists.
(6) Dept. Ind't Then?	Branch Br	italics emphasise psycholog pendent icised ri official ri ogists.
(5) Ind't Now?	- ALANAMANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANAN	nder . o-ordinate with toward alliance
(4) Ind't Then?	Vess No-ph Vess Vess Vess No-ph No-ph Vess Ves	Philosophy Pedagogy Physiology r 'no') 'yes') cendency with
(3) In Charge Intro. Psych?		TMBOLS Upped phy ped phy phy ped phy
Tites?	I anat & physio P p & ped P p & ped P p p & ped P p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p p	Experimental Instructor Independent Introductory Head of Department Professor Psychology
(2) In Charge?	James Hall Bryan Bryan Sastrow Santord Wolfe Tufts Patrick Calleius Detaborre Krohn F. Angell Strow Sorieturi Meyer Sorieturi Leuba Meyer Sorieturi Libby Udd Thompson Breese Breese Breese Sorieturi Burnett Sorieturi Burnett Sorieturi Burnett Sorieturi	exp I ind't intro P P
(I) LAB. ESTAB'D?	4	Assistant or Associate Professor Assistant Innatomy Omparative Zducation Zingish
INSTITUTION	Harvard Harvard I Hopkins Indiana Clark Univ. Nebraska I Howa Clark Univ. Nebraska Cornell Wellesley Brown Illinois Cornell Wellesley Princeton Colorado Cincinnati Missouri Morthwestern Wyoming Wyom	, d
	H 4 8 4 8 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	AP As anat com ed eng eth

For three years thereafter three laboratories were founded per year. Then the pace kept on by ones and twos, with threes in 1900 and 1901, until a halt was called in 1904. A few more have come since then. The two most prolific periods seem to be about 1890 and 1893. It is interesting to note that with the appointment of Scripture at Yale in 1893 used as a node to divide the series, the percentage of total appointments assigned to men with academic rank in psychology (as indicated by italics) is approximately the same for each period, although the division favors the first period on account of its temporal brevity. Altogether 22 out of 36 (61 per cent.) held such title at the time of appointment. More recently, also, the introductory courses have gone to psychologists and have received greater independent recognition, especially since 1898. This result is not wholly unexpected, because seven of the courses in introductory psychology, though not originally independent, have now become selfsustaining. Eight courses, however, are still, in one way or another, dependent on some other department, usually on that of philosophy. Eight departments of psychology have been created out of former alliances with philosophy, but eighteen institutions still have affiliations of psychology to philosophy.

Reading horizontally across the table, we find the following conditions to hold: 7 out of the 39 institutions, viz., Clark, Illinois, Missouri, Cincinnati, Tennessee, Tufts, and Dartmouth, have had psychologists in charge and have had their department and courses independent from the beginning of things; 9 more, viz., Harvard, Wisconsin, Nebraska, Brown, Kansas, Texas, Wyoming, Washington, and Vassar, have always had their introductory courses independent, but have departmental affiliations to some other discipline, usually philosophy; to this list 7 institutions, viz., Wellesley, Chicago, California, Northwestern, Mt. Holyoke, Bowdoin, and Montana, may be added as institutions which hold to these conditions (i. e., introductory courses were always independent, and departments have been dependent) with the exception that their departments are now independent; 2 institutions, viz., Iowa and Columbia, have had their introductory courses dependent, but now have those courses, and always have had their departments, independent; 2 more, viz., Cornell and Ohio State, have had both department and introductory courses dependent, but have relieved themselves of that condition now. Many more comparisons could be drawn.

Statements made in answer to the last two questions were promised confidential treatment, and will therefore be pre-

sented without reference to source. Local identification and definite orientation would, indeed, contribute to the adequate interpretation and significance of the remarks made, but the limitation imposed is, of course, a necessary one. For that reason, pertinent generalisations must be our only resource.

The replies seem to group themselves roughly into two large categories: (1) those coming from departments of psychology that are independent, and (2) those coming from departments affiliated to other departments, or combined with another discipline into a single larger department. The second group subdivides into three sections: (a) conditions are reported as favorable and satisfactory, (b) conditions are reported as unfavorable, owing to demands made upon psychology by education, and (c) conditions are reported as unfavorable because of affiliations to philosophy.

In the institutions where psychology is absolutely independent, there seems to be, in general, no cause for complaint. Full recognition has not only been gained, but also, in almost all cases, rapid progress is being made in the way of increasing registration of students, academic work accomplished, and the establishment of prestige in the institution. If a general impression is allowed, it would not be far amiss to state that the replies from such departments are enthusiastic and hope-In one or two instances, especially from state-controlled institutions of the west, a note of regret was heard in regard to the demands that the department of education, usually a state board, was making at the hands of the psychologist. such cases, a direct attack, in contrast to insidious attacks made elsewhere when numerous applied problems are brought to the theoretical psychologist for solution, is hardly unexpected, and gives a good example of the practical tendencies of many of our state universities. The pressure, however, is not very frequent or very heavy, and can be met in time by the appointment of an educational psychologist whose point of view is that of application. Wherever psychology is affiliated to other departments, in most cases to philosophy, more infrequently to education, we have a twofold possibility of conditions: either (1) the situation is agreeable and satisfactory, or (2) complaint is made. In about one half of the institutions where psychology is affiliated to philosophy, conditions are reported as favorable to the younger discipline. It must be noted that there are many degrees of affiliation, and that most of the favorable reports come from institutions where the affiliation is partial and for the most part theoretical. In some universities, the philosopher was on the ground first,

523

and is technically the head of the combined department of philosophy and psychology, but he receives a salary equal to that of the psychologist, sometimes has less 'academic prominence,' and frequently allows the psychologist to go to the president, or board of trustees, directly, for administrative consultation. In such instances there seems to be good personal relationship and friendship in the combined department. In the remaining situations, where this favorable condition does not exist, the reasons for complaint are various. most frequent complaint is to the effect that wherever students in psychology are also required to elect philosophy they fall into a 'philosophising tendency' which works havor with the empirical approach attempted by modern psychology. Here seems to lie the main point at issue. In spite of repeated efforts to the contrary, it must be conceded by the philosophers that the method of approach of their discipline and sub-disciplines is not of the same empirical nature as is that of the psychological laboratory. Furthermore, in most instances of satisfactory condition between psychology and philosophy, it is found that the type of psychology taught, as outlined in the catalogues of the institutions concerned, is the philosophical, non-empirical, generalising variety current before 1880. It is not the purpose of this paper to discuss at length the question of the nature of experimental psychology as compared with that of the philosophical disciplines, but to record the opinions of those who answered the questionary. A number of these replies indicate, in no uncertain terms, that affiliation to philosophy is unfortunate; that, if affiliation becomes necessary on the score of administrative economy, occupancy of the same building, and elective group systems, in at least three instances, academic relationship with the biological sciences is preferred; and that the 'scientific approach' of experimental psychology is responsible for the steady progress of the discipline. There are other handicaps imposed upon psychology in institutions where the science is not independent. Sometimes philosophical courses are made compulsory, while psychological courses are entirely elective; often psychological courses can be elected only in conjunction with philosophical courses; frequently an old-fashioned pedagogical department demands a primitive type of educational

and philosophy: Aufgabe und Methoden der Psychologie, 1891, 270.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For a detailed discussion of the subject see E. Albee: Descriptive and Normative Sciences, *Philosophical Review*, xvi, 1907, 40; J. Boodin: *Truth and Reality*, 1911, 288; G. Sabine: Descriptive and Normative Sciences, *Philosophical Review*, xxi, 1912, 433.

\*As early as 1891, H. Münsterberg advised a separation of psychology

psychology. In two institutions the department of psychology seems to be doomed to elimination for financial and personal reasons. In general, however, the progress of psychology is assured. In several institutions an independent department of psychology is about to be, or has been at this time, about a year after the expectation was expressed, established. The total impression gotten from a perusal of the comments contained in the replies is one of patient endurance not uncolored with the hope for better things to come.

The second part of the investigation was made with a view to compare, if possible, the standing of psychology as an academic discipline with that of several other disciplines. In the search for a discipline of approximately the same age as psychology, we discovered that political economy, established as an academic discipline about 1885, at the time of the meeting of the American Economic Association, would meet the requirements. Education and physiology were next suggested, because of their intimate historical connection with psychology, contributing, respectively, educational and physiological psychology. Physics was next considered because of its wellestablished recognition as an empirical science, and because of its traditional relations with the mental sciences in the field of knowledge, and then philosophy because it, without doubt, is the parent of psychology in this country. As the work of gathering material from the 1910-11 catalogues of the 39 institutions was proceeding, it became evident that physiology did not lend itself to treatment, because its academic demarcation from such subjects as anatomy, medicine, morphology, histology, and biology was far from rigid. Complications also arose in connection with the medical schools of universities. Zoölogy was therefore substituted for physi-The material gathered consisted of the number of professors, assistant or associate professors, instructors, and assistants, together with the number of subject hours per week per year for each of the six disciplines named, in each of the 30 institutions. Whenever an officer is connected with more than one department, an equal portion of his time, and, consequently, also his statistical value, is assigned to each department; e. g., a professor of philosophy and psychology obtains one-half credit under philosophy and one-half under The distribution of officers among the disciplines is, then, as follows: physics, 265 (66 professors, 62

This may be expressed by the Cartesian formula: World = Mind + Matter, or by the Herbartian equation: World of knowledge = Mental Sciences + Natural Sciences.

assistant professors, 64 instructors, 73 assistants); zoölogy, 175.5 (51 professors, 45.5 assistant professors, 39 instructors, 40 assistants); political economy, 175 (62.5 professors, 46 assistant professors, 41 instructors, 25.5 assistants); philosophy, 123.5 (73 professors, 20.5 assistant professors, 15 instructors, 15 assistants); education, 102 (50 professors, 27.5 assistant professors, 13.5 instructors, 11 assistants); and psychology, 88.5 (32.5 professors, 19 assistant professors, 19 instructors, 18 assistants). The total number of academic hours ('university hours' per year) offered by each discipline is: political economy 3009, physics 2740, zoölogy 1944, philosophy 1671, education 1392, psychology 1190.

It was then considered desirable to correlate the number of university officers with the number of hours offered in each of the six disciplines in every one of the 30 institutions. In order to do this, and in the absence of any other recognised method of procedure, the academic rank of instruction was weighted by a factor proportionate to the relative average salary for that rank in America.8 These factors were 2.5 for professorships, 1.75 for assistant professorships, 1. for instructorships, and .5 for assistantships; i. e., the total number of hours offered at a given institution in a given subject was multiplied by 2.5 for every professor in that subject, by 1.75 for every assistant professor, and so on. The product, because the instructor factor is unity, is termed the 'instructor hour.' Academic titles of officers determine the tabulation under the department: the fact that, e. g., an instructor in psychology gives courses in education does not classify him under 'education,' but the fact that he has academic rank and title 'in psychology' gives him the qualification of classification under 'psychology.' Associate professors, or associates, or preceptors (at Princeton) are classified under 'assistant professor.' Hour units are for one academic year exclusive of summer sessions, and courses in the summer session are not counted. sections in a single course are counted as additional semesters with the corresponding number of hours. Educational psychology is credited under psychology. When courses in education are given in a separate school of education, only those courses are counted which bear on the theory, philosophy, and principles of education. Practical and technical courses are

<sup>\*</sup>The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching: The Financial Status of the Professor in America and in Germany, Bulletin 2, May, 1908, 21, 30, 31, is responsible for the following average salaries: professor \$2500, associate professor \$1900, assistant professor \$1600 (average of the two \$1750), instructor \$1000, and assistant \$500.

TABLE II. RELATIVE RANK IN TERMS OF 'INSTRUCTOR-HOURS'

	1			<del></del>		
	Psy-	Educa-	Zoöl-	Pol.	Phys-	Philoso-
	chology		ogy	Econ.	ics	phy
Columbia†	1730	1370	1655	1060	4180	3561
Chicago	420	885	756	1127	924	500
Minnesota	131	525	1180	602	602	134
Cornell†	232	157	214	1650	4560	1020
Wisconsin†	150	530	572	3220	2140	475
Michigan	105	376	701	859	2640	728
Illinois†	258	502	1230	1658	1463	205
Northwestern	119	140	310	427	162	119
Nebraska†	28	510	860	615	1065	585
Harvard†	279	270	920	1425	1362	1362
California†	158	501	731	420	1230	644
Ohio State	280	392	1104	926	1662	420
Yale	375	48	1120	1542	2920	1090
Missouri	442	98	252	374	493	185
Texas	20	1200	292	212	605	170
Kansas†	132	803	899	220	693	246
Indiana	117	608	378	351	434	188
Washington†	59	497	542	875	426	138
Iowa	130	545	1118	1035	882	155
Tennessee	20	57	54	15	20	22
Princeton	72		30	667	1733	568
Smith†	108	69	210	72	256	217
Cincinnati†	81	98	120	42	575	63
Colorado	94	262	75	83	184	93
Dartmouth†	37	9	114	360	287	45
Wellesley†	167	45	314	280	203	127
Tufts	6	3	190	126	115	210
Vassar†	48	3	45	112	298	90
Brown	80	132	96	213	360	384
J. Hopkins	111	5	298	136	781	159
Mt. Holyoke†	96	45	150	2 I	290	77
Vermont†	8	60	46	166	98	25
Amherst†	13	6	60	102	126	32
Bryn Mawr	90	12	81	136	170	273
Bowdoin†	45		58	26	40	30
Wesleyan†	38	_	35	27	253	50
Wyoming	26	75	22	101	150	20
Montana	41	37	61	24	75	17 8
Clark Univ	126	25	50	14	120	8

<sup>†</sup> Marks institutions represented in the last three tables.

excluded. Political economy or economics is differentiated from political science and sociology. The latter courses are excluded. The results of this correlation appear in Table II. In this and the following tables, institutions are placed in the

order of total registration of students in the institution, highest registration leading. Of the 39 institutions tabulated, 19 appear in the two following tables (indicated by obelisk). Since a cross comparison will later be made between the three tables, it was deemed necessary to calculate averages for only these 19 institutions. The average number of 'instructor hours,' therefore, is: physics 1029, political economy 650, philosophy 473, zoölogy 451, education 288, and psychology 193. Expressed in *per cent.*, the disciplines rank, according to this comparison: physics .334, political economy .211, philosophy .152, zoölogy .146, education .094, and psychology .063.

By means of correspondence it was possible to procure the number of student registrations for each of the disciplines in a large number of these 39 institutions. It was not possible to establish a uniform method of counting these registrations, because some of the figures given represent registrations, others eliminate double or triple registrations, i. e., cases where the same students are registered in more than one course at a time, and thus represent separate students. No great error, however, results. Most of the figures given are actual registrations and not estimates. Registrations for both semesters are added, giving registration for the year, 1910-11. obtain averages for the six disciplines compared in the 10 institutions, it was necessary, in a few instances, to divide the registration given for combined departments, arbitrarily, into equal shares under the heading of the disciplines that were thus combined. The average registrations thus derived are: political economy 636, physics 568, philosophy 298, education 292, psychology 244, zoölogy 187. Arranged in per cent. of distribution, these figures give: political economy .286, physics .255, philosophy .133, education .132, psychology .110, and zoölogy .084.

One of the most adequate bases of statistical comparison seems to be the financial; but it is at once the most difficult of treatment. Although treasurers' accounts are not as unintelligible and as unsystematic as they are sometimes said to be, 10 enough deviation from a standard method exists to make occasional trouble. Luckily most of these deviations did not materially affect this investigation. Most of the confusion arose because of the way in which expenditures for several

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>o</sup> The World Almanac, 1912, is authority for this order. No more reliable source of information was at hand which gave statistics for all the institutions considered. The order is based on registration figures for 1910-11, including summer session.

<sup>10</sup> C. F. Birdseye, The Reorganisation of our Colleges, 1909, 340.

TABLE III. REGISTRATION

Psy-chology							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Psy-	Educa-	Zoöl-	Pol.	Phys-	VI Philoso- phy
Vermont†     21     40     30     20     42     30       Amherst†     164     18     330     260     122     22       Bryn Mawr     *135     16     BEm 79     122     61     I       Bowdoin†     95     —     124     350     59     12	Minnesota Cornell† Wisconsin† Illinois† Nebraska† Harvard† California† Kansas† Washington†. Smith† Cincinnati† Dartmouth† Wellesley† Tufts Vassar† Mt. Holyoke† Vermont† Amherst† Bryn Mawr Bowdoin†	210 736 318 347 412 *312 303 VI VI 164 303 43 382 190 21 164 *135	1725 626 243 365 280 320 299 836 160 216 VI 471 14 134 9 32 190 40 18	102 1047 118 68 382 173 244 521 257 178 195 111 121 189 1 36 334 30 8Em 79	ES 445 1286 EL 2214 1290 418 303 2332 485 533 439 337 354 918 441 55 567 122 20 260 122 350	830 399 4200 553 1050 248 330 1205 280 160 238 763 123 78 219 162 42 122 61 59	466 259 543 168 3°4 I 828 *1461 378 *248 *597 210 76 259 84 542 85 270 I 129 182
Wesleyan† 97 — 49 257 81 1	vi colcyali	97		49	257		102

BEm Biology and embryology combined with zoölogy.

EL Economics and sociology combined with political economy. ES Economics and political science combined with political economy.

Roman numerals indicate the department with which the registration is shared.

departments or disciplines were lumped into a single budget. Difficulty also arose from the fact that in some cases there were special reasons for an abnormal—either subnormal or supernormal—expenditure, such as reduced salaries paid to substitutes during a regular officer's leave of absence, increased appropriation because of a method of annual rotation of appropriation among the departments, decreased appropriation because of a previous year's unusually large expenditure, or because of a special reorganisation of a department. The amounts given under 'other appropriations' are not uniformly classifiable under any definite heading. As a rule, however, administrative and building expenses are excluded. We have, then, presented in Table IV a list of expenditures

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates that number so marked is shared by some other department.

<sup>†</sup> Marks institutions represented in the last three tables.

## TABLE IV. APPROPRIATIONS

І. Рѕусногосу

II. EDUCATION

A. Indicates appropriations for salaries of academic officers.  B. Indicates appropriations for other purposes,—books, apparatus, etc. C. Indicates total appropriations. * Indicates that amount so marked is shared by some other department.  * Roman numerals indicate the department with which the appropriation is shared, e.g., I, psychology; II, Marks institutions represented in the last three tables.	Columbia† Chicago Cornell† Wisconsin† Michigan Illinois† Northwestern Nebraska† Harvard† California† Chio State Missouri Texas Kansas† Indiana Washington† Iowa Tennessee Smith† Colorado Dartmouth† Wellesley† Vassar† Mt. Holyoke† Vermont† Amherst† Bowdoin† Wesleyan† Mysleyan† Mysleyan† Mysleyan†	
appropriations for s appropriations for o total appropriations, that amount so mari terals indicate the dep titutions represented	\$12350 10164 7750 64000 3550 3715 VI 4300 4100 111 VI *6200 3150 VI VI *6200 3150 3150 111 VI *7250 34650 VI VI VI VI VI VI VI VI VI VI	A.
r salaries of rother pur ons. narked is she department ed in the le	\$1199.43 1553 1340.49 VI 600 1650 200 210 150 VI *639.89 350 175 VI 200 175 VI 200 175 VI 200 175 VI 200 175 VI 200 175 VI 200 175 VI 175 VI 175 VI 175 VI 175 VI 175 VI 175 VI 175 VI 175 VI 175 VI 175 VI 175 175 VI 175 175 175 175 175 175 175 175	В.
f academic poses,—bool poses,—bool ared by sor with which st three tal	\$13549.43 11717 9090.49 VI 4650 8050 3750 3750 3750 VI 4304.28 5175 VI 4304.28 5175 VI *6839.89 3500 3150 3182.20 3282.20 11216 *7450 4039 44767.69 VI VI VI VI 1111 VI 1111 VI	C.
officers. ks, apparatu ne other de the approp bles.	\$156750 129866 129866 12100 12100 129800 17200 1755	Α.
is, etc. partment. riation is sh	\$650 \$650 \$65.44 \$65.44 \$650 \$150 \$650 \$1650 \$1650 \$1650 \$280 \$280 \$400 \$250 \$300 \$400 \$300 \$400	В.
ared, e.g., I	\$156750 30516 6865.44 12600 8950 1700 16100 7650 16100 7650 16100 7650 16100 7650 16200 16200 1725 8751.21 8975 8751.21 8975 8751.21 8975 8751.21 8975 8751.21 8975 8751.21 8975 8751.21 8975 8751.21 8975 162000 16200 16200 16200 16200 16200 16200 16200 162000 16	c.
, psychology	\$32450 15545 7800 116800 61800 61800 61800 61800 61800 61800 619000 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 619000 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 619000 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 619000 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 61900 619000 619	Α.
	\$4768.36 3594 500 1585 2100 750 1250 1250 1250 1250 1800 1175 1333.54 1000 2000 2014.58 800.55 1482.26 1482.26	В.
education, etc.	\$37218.36 19139 13185 18950 7550 7415 237000 277000 9950 11678 6700 13150 4000 8450 10305.58 11977 9426.52 16426.52 17802.26	C.
A Astronomy comb B Biology. E Economics. Em Embryology. H History. L Sociology. S Political Science.	E \$19400 EL 19025 31520 19300 222200 SEL14625 E 31750 6800 6800 6800 HE 115500 HE 17500 HE 19650 HE 19650 SL 3200 S 3125 EL 3200 S 3125 S 3125 S 3125 S 3850 S 3925 3800 22000	Α.
y combined s.	\$900 850 666.02 2800 100 1125 1440 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 25	В.
Astronomy combined with physics. Biology. Economics. m Embryology. History. Sociology. Political Science.	\$20300 22470 19691.02 31870 6300 14750 33850 21880 21880 3850 7150 6253.45 10200 12000 14725 3125 3400 17650 3850 3850 3850 3850 3850 10200 12500 12500 125	Ċ.
Ĉ.	\$18200 22738 42316 26350 19330 226320 226320 226320 226320 225330 15200 25330 15200 25350 15200 25370 48400 4850 4850 2800 2800 2800 2800 2800 2800 2800 2	Α.

III. Zoology ₩ <u>ب</u>

IV. POLITICAL ECONOMY ₿.

## TABLE IV. APPROPRIATIONS

Η.

EDUCATION

III.

Zoology

IV. POLITICAL ECONOMY

V. Physics

VI. PHILOSOPHY

fficers.  A Astronomy combined B Biology.  s, apparatus, etc.  E Economics.  E m Embryology.  the appropriation is shared, e.g., I, psychology: II, education, etc.  L Sociology.  L Sociology.  S Political Science.	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A. B. C. A. B. C. A. B.
with physics.	\$18200 \$18200 \$18200 \$587 22738 5587 22620 19300 2925 21000 12765 22620 25330 25300 25300 3000 15200 25300 3890 25300 3890 2300 4500 2300 5300 1614.16 6375 1000 100	А. В.
	\$25237.14 28325 28325 27716.77 114050 27325 2000 30215 6000 30215 6000 30215 15285 27900 15285 27900 18120 14200 27900 18120 4200 18120 4200 18120 4200 1825 8850 8850 88725 2800 27900 4700 2800 10763.63 2800 2800 2800 2800 2800 2800 2800 280	C.
	*34.79 *485 347.53 *500 *1160 *1160 *775 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	В.
	\$31234.79 13224 14397.53 *13150 6150 3500 4250 435750 *17110 4353 44500 *9255 1 2900 *9050 1 6100 2950 2500 1 3900 *4816.79 1550 3000 11	C.

e other department. the appropriation is shared, e.g., I, psychology; II, education, etc. les.

for the six disciplines in a number of institutions for the academic year 1910-11.11 Whenever an expenditure covers more than the discipline in whose column it is found, that fact is recorded either by a symbolic letter prefixed to the amount. which letter is interpreted in the legend, or by an asterisk, in which case the department which shares the amount is indicated by a Roman numeral referring back to the column where the amount is found. In the comparison of appropriations given below,12 an arbitrary division similar to that made in Table III has been resorted to in cases where a number of departments share a given amount. It is assumed, for purposes of correlation, that the amount is equally shared. All of the deviations described are so comparatively few in number, and generally affect the 19 institutions compared to so small an extent, that they may be virtually neglected. The average appropriations for the six disciplines in the 19 institutions considered are, then, as follows: physics \$15545, education \$13350, political economy \$12160, zoölogy \$11000, philosophy \$6545, and psychology \$5285. Converted into per cent. of money distribution, these amounts give the following results: physics .240, education .210, political economy .101, zoölogy .175, philosophy .102, and psychology .082. Combining Tables III and IV, we get the following per capita expenditure: zoölogy \$59.32, education \$45.73, physics \$27.58, philosophy \$21.97, psychology \$21.67, and political economy \$10.12.

An attempt was made to summarise the results of these three tables by means of a correlated average rank for each of the six disciplines. The application of Spearman's 'footrule' indicated, however, that the correlation was neither constant nor adequately representative. The best we can do, then, is briefly to outline the facts brought out in the tables. On the whole, psychology foots the lists more often than any other discipline. In this respect it is the most consistent of them all. Political economy, of equal age with psychology, heads the list with the greatest number of university hours, while psychology foots the list with the lowest; in the matter of appropriations, education, the youngest of all the disciplines

<sup>11</sup> The single exception is the University of Cincinnati whose fiscal year ends Dec. 31. The year given in this case ends Dec. 31, 1911.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Grateful acknowledgment must be made of the courtesy shown by correspondents in furnishing the desired information. All of the material used in this article was obtained by the author through replies made to questionaries. Realising the usual annoyance arising from this form of request, the author wishes to give full recognition to the kindness shown by these correspondents.

represented, and political economy, occupy second and third places respectively, while psychology occupies the last; in the number of 'instructor hours,' political economy is second in rank, psychology is lowest; in the number of officers of instruction, political economy is third on the list, psychology is last; in the matter of student registration, political economy heads the list, psychology occupies the next to the last place; education leads with the largest per capita expenditure, psychology is next to the end.

After this statistical presentation, a few remarks concerning the condition of psychology as an academic discipline may not be out of place. We find that psychology, after over 25 years of growth, does not stand very high on the honor roll among other academic subjects. Other disciplines, of equal age or younger, have, in several instances, decidedly surpassed it in rank. Various explanations may suggest themselves, but the following seem to the writer to be the most adequate:

(I) Ranking in terms of financial support given, number of student registrations, and the like, may not sufficiently interpret academic prestige, or account for the work that a discipline has accomplished among other disciplines. Certainly education has emphatically, physiology and the social sciences have to some extent, benefited by the application of results obtained in the psychological laboratory. How much, on the other hand, psychology is indebted to physics for its contributions to psychophysical problems, to physiology and anatomy for their functional and structural points of view and facts, to anthropology and the social sciences for their contributions to genetic and group psychology, and to zoölogy for suggestions in comparative work, is, likewise, a difficult matter to compute.

(2) Pure sciences, without definite aim at application, are usually slow of academic growth at the beginning of their establishment. The smaller the possibility of application, the slower is the growth: witness such sciences as astronomy, botany, zoölogy, and geology,—astronomy probably footing the list, if a general impression be allowed, with a correspondingly small possibility of application.

(3) The hardships of progress are most decidedly emphasised when the discipline in question is attempting to gain credit at once in the world at large and in the university, *i. e.*, when it lacks a long historical development antedating

academic recognition. If its progress is well established before the university appears on the scene, attainment of academic approval is easy and rapid. Experimental psychology originated in 1879, and during the next few years it was already a candidate for admission to the American academic curriculum. Its academic growth is, therefore, almost coëval with its progress in the world at large.

(4) The establishment of laboratories in connection with an empirical psychology is necessarily slow and laborious because of the initial expense involved, and for the reason that space has to be procured and a staff of assistants must be chosen. This may explain, in a measure, the fact that the *per capita* expenditure for psychology, a laboratory science, is about equal to that of philosophy, not a laboratory science. Adequate laboratories have not been everywhere provided.

(5) The introspective method, peculiar to the psychologist, may offer a hindrance to the ready acceptance of the discipline because of the false assumption that it requires either an abnormal gift of some sort or years of toilsome training. The very fact that the method is itself variously interpreted, and sometimes poorly understood even by psychologists, suggests that there is difficulty.

Some of these explanations may be found to apply to other disciplines as well; but, perhaps, psychology suffers to the greatest degree because in her case all of the factors mentioned operate together.